



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_4834
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS AND PERFORMANCE OF SOLAR DRYERS WITH BACKUP INCINERATORS FOR NSUKKA SUB-TROPICAL AND MAKURDI HUMID LOCATIONS USING SELECTED FARM PRODUCE
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	a) There are a number of grammatical errors and instances of badly worded/constructed sentences. Please check the manuscript and refine the language carefully. b) A similar study has been done "Performance Evaluation of an Efficient Solar Dryer with a Backup Incinerator for Grated Cassava under Makurdi Humid Climate". This study should be different written (For example; you can delete Fig 2). System figure or schematic diagram should be added to this study. "What is solar incinerator?" this should be seen by researchers. c) Spelling errors should be corrected. d) References should be re-written according to the Guide for Authors. Not: Attached marked copy for authors. Consequently; minor revision is required. Manuscript should re-assessment. Manuscript should be checked. After from corrections, it can be published. Thus, publication may be too late, but will be perfect. I hope these comments will be helpful to you. Best regards,	These have been corrected: see yellow highlights; Yes, similar study from the same authors: limited to one location for three drying equipment and directed at grated cassava; this present paper is concerned with the comparative evaluation of one of the dryers, (solar incinerator dryer) modified/ developed for the study for its efficiency on selected farm produce of garri, okra and chili pepper This has been described in section 2.1, yellow highlights, figs 1 and 2 also References now re-written in accord with the format required Minor corrections carried out; see all the yellow highlights; It took me quite some time. Your suggestions are quite helpful. Thanks for your time.



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments		
---	--	--